

SEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/926,246

09/05/97

SULLIVAN

М

SLD-2035-1-2

QM12/0917

DIANE F. COVELLO, ESQ. DIVISION PATENT AND TRADEMARK COUNSEL SPALDING SPORTS WORLDWIDE 425 MEADOW STREET, P.O. BOX 901 CHICOPEE MA 01021-0901

EXAMINER GRAHAM, M **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

3711

09/17/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Applicant(s)

Sullivan

Advisory Action Examiner

Mark S. Graham

Group Art Unit

3711



a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action.	
b) 📑 expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action	
is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date rejection.	on, whichever of the final
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropri date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the p determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	ourposes of
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	r within any
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on has been considered with the following but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	ng effect,
☐ The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
will not be entered because:	
☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or similar issues for appeal.	nplifying the
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if subseparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	mitted in a
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if subreseparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application for allowance because: 	
☐ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application	
☐ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application	ion in condition
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were not the Examiner in the final rejection.	ion in condition
 The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were not the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): 	ion in condition
 The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were not the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: 	ion in condition
 The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were not the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): 	ion in condition
 The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were not the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: 	newly raised by
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were not the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected:	newly raised by

Advisory Action